**Rube Goldberg Evaluation**

 3 2 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Clearly explains the overall structure of the machine your team built and its purpose.  | Missing a few minor details in the description | Missing Major details in the description |
| Energy transfers are described with detail and completely (X2) | Missing a few minor details in the description | Missing major details in the description  |
| Describe and explain the building process. Problems encountered and how you were able to overcome these problems (X2) | Mostly able to follow the process and all potential problems were addressed completely.  | Missing some of the issues and the adjustments made to address these issues.  |
| Performance of the machine is clearly explained.  | Performance is mostly explained. Missing minor details.  | Performance is not clear and missing details.  |
| Performance evaluated and thoroughly explained how to overcome the performance issues to ensure the machine can perform the required job. (X2) | Mostly explained but details missing that allow gaps in understanding the entire performance issue.  | Missing enough details that performance is not accurately explained and evaluated.  |
| Explain the best way to improve the overall success of the current machine with specific details. Explains how and why these improvements will help. (X2) | Mostly explains how to improve the machine.  | Lacking detail or explanation |
| Organization, fluency is of high quality  | Mostly high quality  | Does not represent the work of a physics student |
| Grammar, spelling – no glaring mistakes | 1 error | 2 or more errors |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |